Document 1 Filed 03/03/11

Page 1 of 23 PageID: 1

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

-								
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS VIOLA WILLIAMSON, an individual; and JAMES WILLIAMSON, an individual,				DEFENDANTS CECILIA ARZATE-DEMALDONADO and STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY				
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)						U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)		
					D CONDEMN NVOLVED.	ATION CASES, US	E THE LOCATION OF THE	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)				
J.D. Sullivan, Esq., SUL		lighway 88, Suite	401,	James E. Harper.	, Esq., H	ALL JAFFE &	CLAYTON, 7455 W.	
Minden, Nevada 89423 II. BASIS OF JURISI							NV 89128, (702)316 1	
II. DASIS OF JURISL	ICTION (Place an "X")	n One Box Only)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	KINCIFA	IL FARTIES	and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citizo	en of This State D		Incorporated or Pri of Business In This		
☐ 2 U.S. Government	🗵 4 Diversity		Citiza	en of Another State	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and P		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizensh	p of Parties in Item III)				of Business In A	Another State	
Particular and an articular and articular an	*			en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUI			i les re	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	= ⊕RAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
■ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		10 Agriculture	i	al 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
120 Marine	310 Airplane	 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractic 		20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 423 With	drawal SC 157	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
 ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument 	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	365 Personal Injury		of Property 21 USC 881			☐ 450 Commerce	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Person.		80 Liquor Laws 10 R.R. & Truck	 PROPEI 3 820 Copy 	CTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer influenced and	
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Injury Product	□ 65	50 Airline Regs.	830 Pater	st -	Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	Liability PERSONAL PROPER		60 Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 840 Trade	emark	☐ 480 Consumer Credit☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud	□ 69	0 Other	- SECONDA	SECURITY	☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal		LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA	(1395ff)	Exchange	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		Act 20 Labor/Mgint, Relations	☐ 862 Black	(Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Product Liability		30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	□ 864 SSID	Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONERPETITIO	NS D 74	& Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RSI (FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 510 Motions to Vaca		00 Other Labor Litigation		s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	
 □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 	U 442 Employment U 443 Housing/	Sentence Hubeas Corpus:		Of Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act		—Third Party	895 Freedom of Information	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations O 444 Welfare	530 General 535 Death Penalty	-0.5380	■ IMMIGRATION	26 U.	SC 7609	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination	
243 Told Flouder Enablity 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Ot		52 Naturalization Application	1		Under Equal Access	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 		63 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee			to Justice 950 Constitutionality of	
	Other			55 Other Immigration			State Statutes	
	440 Other Civil Rights			Actions				
V. ORIGIN (Place	an "X" in One Box Only)		<u> </u>	Trans	ferred from		Appeal to District	
	tate Court	Appellate Court	Reo	pened anothe	er district	6 Multidistr Litigation	Magictrata	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI		·	are ming ((Do not cite jurisdictions	an statutes a	intess diversity j.		
	State Farm's h	andling of underli			,	HEGE VEG	if demanded in complaint:	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P	IS A CLASS ACTIO	<u> И</u>	EMAND S		URY DEMAND:		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	ET NUMBER		
DATE 03/03/2011		SIGNATURE OF A		OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY								
RECEIPT#	MOUNT	APPLYING 1FP		JUDGE		MAG, JUI	DGE	

RILEY A. CLAYTON 1 Nevada Bar No. 005260 rclayton@lawhjc.com JAMES E. HARPER 3 Nevada Bar No. 009822 jharper@lawhjc.om 4 HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP 5 7455 W. WASHINGTON AVENUE SUITE 460 6 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89128 (702) 316-4111 7 FAX (702)316-4114 8 Attorneys for Defendant, State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance 9 Company 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 12 13 VIOLA WILLIAMSON, an individual; and JAMES WILLIAMSON, an individual, CASE NO.: 14 Plaintiffs, 15 PETITION FOR REMOVAL VS. 16 CECILIA ARZATE-DEMALDONADO; an 17 individual; STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY, 18 a private mutual insurance company; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, 19 Defendants. 20 21 22 TO: THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA 23 24 Defendant, State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company ("State Farm"), by and through its 25 attorneys, Hall Jaffe & Clayton, LLP, hereby removes this action to the United States District Court for 26 the District of Nevada. 27 This Court has original jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under the 28 provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1332 in that there is complete diversity between the parties and more than

Document 1 Filed 03/03/11 Page 2 of 23 PageID: 2

Case 2:12-cv-00146-DMC-JAD

\$75,000 in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs.

- 2. State Farm is a defendant in the above-entitled action now pending in the Ninth Judicial District Court, Douglas County, Nevada, CV 10CV0375, Dept. 2. State Farm is an Illinois corporation with its principal place of business in that state, and is authorized to transact insurance under the laws of the State of Nevada. According to the complaint, Plaintiffs, Viola Williamson and James Williamson (hereinafter "Plaintiffs"), are citizens and residents of Middlesex County, Nevada. According to the complaint, Defendant, Cecilia Arzate-Demaldonado, is a citizen and resident of Clark County, Nevada. As such, complete diversity exists between the Plaintiffs, New Jersey citizens, Defendant, State Farm, an Illinois corporation, and Defendant Arzate-Demaldonado, a Nevada citizen.
- 3. The information contained in the claims file, in addition to the allegations in the complaint, establish that Plaintiffs seek damages in excess of the jurisdictional minimum. In summary, this case stems from a hit-and-run automobile accident involving Plaintiffs and a vehicle owned by Defendant Arzate-Demaldonado. As a result of the accident, Plaintiffs' claim they injured their shoulder and knee, and also suffered severe cervical and lumbar injuries that require spinal surgery. Relevant to the amount in controversy, Defendant Arzate-Demaldonado purportedly claims that her vehicle was stolen prior to the accident, even though she never filed a stolen vehicle report. Based on the alleged automobile theft, however, Defendant Arzate-Demaldonado's automobile insurance carrier, Farmers, denied Plaintiffs' claims against Defendant Arzate-Demaldonado's liability insurance coverage. Thereafter, Plaintiff James Williamson made a demand for \$87,500 under his State Farm underinsured motorist policy with \$100,000/\$300,000 limits based on the purported severity of his injuries, cost of medical treatment, and Defendant Arzate-Demaldonado's apparent underinsured status. Nevertheless, absent objective proof that Defendant Arzate-Demaldonado's vehicle was stolen, and based on State Farm's position that Defendant Arzate-Demaldonado was liable for Plaintiffs' loss despite Farmers' denial of Plaintiff's bodily injury claim against Defendant Arzate-Demaldonado's liability insurance coverage, State Farm determined that the Plaintiff's UIM demand was not valid. Thus, under Plaintiff James Williamson's State Farm UIM contract alone, there is at least \$87,500 in UIM coverage in dispute. Furthermore, the complaint separately alleges three causes of action, causing damages "in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

6 7

5

8 9

10 11

13

12

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

- The Notice of Removal is timely. Service of the complaint upon State Farm was effected by service upon the Commissioner of Insurance of the State of Nevada on January 31, 2011.
- 5. A copy of Plaintiff's complaint, Affirmation, summons, and proof of service is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". This constitutes all of the papers and pleadings served on State Farm.
- 6. State Farm has concurrently filed a copy of this Notice of Removal with the Douglas County District Court Clerk, and has served a copy of this upon Plaintiff.

Based on the foregoing, State Farm hereby removes the above action now pending in the Ninth Judicial District Court, Douglas County, Nevada, 10CV0375, Dept. 2, to this Court.

DATED this 3rd day of March, 2011.

HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP

Nevada Bar No. 005260

JAMES E. HARPER

Nevada Bar No. 009822 7455 W. Washington Ave, Suite 460

Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 Attorneys for Defendant,

State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Rule 5(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that I am an employee of HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP, and that on the 2 day of March, 2011, the foregoing PETITION FOR REMOVAL was served upon the parties via the Court's e-filing and service program and by placing an original or true copy thereof in a sealed envelope, and depositing it in the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, at Las Vegas, Nevada, addressed as follows:

J.D. Sullivan, Esq. SULLIVAN LAW 1625 Highway 88, Suite 401 Minden, NV 89423 Fax: (775) 782-3439 Attorney for Plaintiffs

Brent Harsh, Esq. RIDDLE & ASSOCIATES 200 S. Virginia Street, 8th Floor Reno, NV 89501 Fax: (775) 686-2401 Attorney for Defendant Cecilia Arzate-demaldonado

An Employee of HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLI

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EXHIBIT 66A99

CIOS EIBE

Defendants who committed an outrageous hit and run, and the breach of an underinsured motorist insurance contract by Defendant STATE PARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff VIOLA WILLIAMSON is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a resident of Edison, New Jersey. Plaintiff JAMES WILLIAMSON is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a resident of Edison, New Jersey.
- 3. Defendant CECILIA ARZATE-DEMALDONADO is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a resident of Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 4. Defendant STATE PARM HUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY is a private mutual insurance company with its principal place of business in Bloomington, Illinois.
- 5. The true names and/or capacities of Defendant DOES 1 through 50, are unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore cannot identify them at this time. When the true identities and/or capacities of DOES 1 through 50 are ascertained, Plaintiffs will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to reflect same.
- 6. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that each of the Defendants is, and at all times herein mentioned was, the agent, servant, and employee of each of the remaining Defendants, and each of the acts or failures to act of each of the Defendants, as herein alleged, was within the course and scope of each such Defendant's authority as such agent, servant, and employee, with the permission, consent, knowledge, prior authorization, and subsequent ratification of each of the remaining Defendants.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

26 27

28

UΊ

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence against all Defendants)

- 7. Plaintiffs reallege Paragraphs 1 through 6 and incorporate same herein by reference, as though expressly set forth herein.
- 5 8. On December 30, 2010, at approximately 10:44 p.m., Plaintiffs were stopped at a red traffic light, in a rented 2009 6 Chevrolet Malibu, northbound on North Teyana Way, in the right turn 7 lane, at the intersection with West Cheyenne Avenue, when Defendant 8 CECILIA ARZATE-DEMALDONADO, or an unknown Doe Defendant, g negligently drove a 2004 Chevrolet automobile, license plate number 10 598VRW, northbound on North Teyana Way, in the right turn lane, at 11 an excessive rate of speed in a 35 mile per hour zone, and crashed 12 13 into the rear of Plaintiff's rented vehicle.
 - 9. Defendant CECILIA ARZATE-DEMALDONADO, or an unknown Doe Defendant, left the scene of the above described accident without giving the information and aid required by NRS 484E.020 and 484E.030.
 - 10. Defendant CECILIA AREATE-DEMALDONADO was cited for violating NRS 484E.020 and Clark County Code 14.24.010(c), because after failing to reduce speed to avoid a collision, the at-fault driver fled the scene of the accident without providing information or rendering aid. Therefore, Defendant CECILIA AREATE-DEMALDONADO, or an unknown Doe Defendant, was negligent per se in causing the collision.
 - 11. The Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Hit and Run Detail sent a letter to Defendant CECILIA AREATE-DEMALDONADO on January 5, 2009, regarding the above described hit and run accident. On January 8, 2009, Defendant CECILIA AREATE-DEMALDONADO

1

2

3

4

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

23 |

24

25

27

called the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department to report that the subject 2004 Chevrolet vehicle had been stolen. The vehicle had not been reported stolen prior to January 8, 2009.

- 12. On January 16, 2010, Detective Rooney of the Las Vegas
 Metropolitan Police Department met with Defendant CECILIA ARZATEDEMALDONADO who provided her insurance information for the subject
 vehicle. At that meeting Defendant CECILIA ARZATE-DEMALDONADO
 continued to claim that the subject vehicle had been stolen. The
 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department then ceased its efforts to
 attempt to locate the subject vehicle.
- aforesaid, and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiff VIOLA WILLIAMSON received injuries consisting of, but not limited to, a cervical disc herniation at C4-C5, pressing on the anterior thecal sac; a bulged cervical disc at C6-C7, pressing on the anterior thecal sac; right shoulder tendinopathy/tendinitis of the supraspinatus tendon; lumbar spine disc bulges at L3-L4 and L4-L5, impinging on the anterior thecal sac; a lumbar spine left sided central disc herniation at L5-S1, pressing on the anterior thecal sac and narrowing the left lateral recess; a right knee grade 2 intraweniscal tear within the posterior horn of the medial meniscus; and right knee joint effusion.
- 14. By reason of the subject accident and negligence, as aforesaid, and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiff JAMES WILLIAMSON received injuries consisting of, but not limited to, cervical disc bulges at C3-C4, C4-C5 and C5-C6, pressing on the anterior thecal sacs and narrowing the neural foramina; a lumbar spine broad-based disc herniation at L4-L5, pressing on the

3

4

7

8

10

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

- 15. As a further direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, as herein alleged, Plaintiffs were required to and did employ physicians and others for medical examination, treatment and care of injuries, and did incur medical and incidental expenses which shall be shown, according to proof at the time of trial.
- 16. As a further, direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, as herein alleged, Plaintiffs were prevented from attending to their employment duties, due to their injuries and resulting medical treatment.
- 17. On information and belief, Defendants at or before the time of the accident, so negligently owned, controlled, managed, operated, entrusted, permitted use of, and drove their motor vehicle so as to actually and proximately cause the injuries and damages alleged herein.
- 18. As a further, direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, as herein alleged, the injuries thus received by Plaintiffs greatly impaired their health, strength, and activity, and caused them great mental and physical pain and suffering, thus damaging Plaintiffs in an amount which shall be shown, according to proof at the time of trial.

25 1///

1 #

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 1///

27 1//

28 ///

- 5 -

or rax berver 17

1

2

3

4

S

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

25

27

ထ

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress against all Defendants)

- 19. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1-18 above as though expressly set forth herein.
- 20. Leaving the scene of the subject accident, without rendering aid to the injured parties, without providing contact information, and without waiting for the police to arrive so that citations could be issued, constitutes intentional infliction of emotional distress due to outrageous conduct.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' outrageous conduct of "hit and run," Plaintiffs suffered severe emotional distress.
- 22. As a further direct and proximate result of the conduct of Defendants, and each of them, as herein alleged, Plaintiffs were required to and did employ physicians and others for medical examination, treatment and care of injuries, and did incur medical and incidental expenses which shall be shown, according to proof at the time of trial.
- 21 23. As a further, direct and proximate result of the conduct 22 of Defendants, and each of them, as herein alleged, Plaintiffs were 23 prevented from attending to their employment duties, due to their 24 injuries and resulting medical treatment.
 - 24. As a further, direct and proximate result of the conduct of Defendants, and each of them, as herein alleged, the injuries thus received by Plaintiffs greatly impaired their health. strength, and activity, and caused them great mental and physical

pain and suffering, thus damaging Plaintiffs in an amount which shall be shown, according to proof at the time of trial.

25. The foregoing conduct by Dafendants was wilful and oppressive, and in conscious disregard for the safety of Plaintiffs and the general public. Plaintiffs were injured as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' misconduct and are therefore entitled to punitive damages.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of contract Against STATE PARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY)

- 26. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1-25 above as though expressly set forth herein.
- 27. Plaintiffs entered into an Underinsured Motorist Insurance Contract with Defendant STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE 15 INSURANCE COMPANY, which obligates Defendant STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY to pay for Plaintiffs' damages that are in excess of the amount of insurance carried by the at-fault owner/driver of the subject 2004 Chevrolet vehicle.
 - 28. If Defendant CECILIA ARZATE-DEMALDONADO, owner of the subject vehicle, was driving at the time of the accident, or gave the at fault driver permission to drive, then Defendant STATE PARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY is obligated to pay Plaintiffs' damages that are in excess of the amount of CECILIA AREATE-DEMALDONADO's liability insurance coverage.
 - 29. If the subject vehicle was stolen and being driven without permission at the time of the accident, then Defendant STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY is liable for all of

3

5

6 7 -

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

O ø 000 O

ന

40001

Ø

Defendant STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY is in breach of contract for failing to admit liability and pay the damaces it owes. 6

31. By reason of the subject accident and negligence, as aforesaid, and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiff VIOLA WILLIAMSON received injuries consisting of, but not limited to, a cervical disc herniation at C4-C5, pressing on the anterior thecal sac; a bulged cervical disc at C6-C7, pressing on the anterior thecal sac; right shoulder tendinopathy/tendinitis of the supraspinatus tendon; lumbar spine disc bulges at L3-L4 and L4-L5, impinging on the anterior thecal sac; a lumbar spine left sided central disc herniation at L5-S1, pressing on the anterior thecal sac and parrowing the left lateral recess; a right knee grade 2 intrameniscal tear within the posterior born of the medial meniscus; and right knee joint effusion.

32. By reason of the subject accident and negligence, as aforesaid, and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiff JAMES WILLIAMSON received injuries consisting of, but not limited to, cervical disc bulges at C3-C4, C4-C5 and C5-C6, pressing on the anterior thecal sacs and narrowing the neural foramine; a lumbar spine broad-based disc herniation at L4-L5, pressing on the anterior thecal sac, narrowing the lateral recesses, and causing spinal stemosis; and a lumbar spine disc bulge at L5-S1, effacing the anterior epidural fat and narrowing the right lateral recess.

111

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 34. As a further direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, as herein alleged, Plaintiffs were required to and did employ physicians and others for medical examination, treatment and care of injuries, and did incur medical and incidental expenses which shall be shown, according to proof at the time of trial.
- 35. As a further, direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, as herein alleged, Plaintiffs were prevented from attending to their employment duties, due to their injuries and resulting medical treatment.
- 36. On information and belief, Defendants at or before the time of the accident, so negligently owned, controlled, managed, operated, permitted use of, and drove their motor vehicle so as to actually and proximately cause the injuries and damages alleged herein.
- 37. As a further, direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, as herein alleged, the injuries thus received by Plaintiffs greatly impaired their health, strength, and activity, and caused them great mental and physical pain and suffering, thus damaging Plaintiffs in an amount which shall be shown, according to proof at the time of trial.
- 18. Defendant STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY is contractually obligated to pay Plaintiffs' damages as aforesaid.

 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, pray for judgment against the Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

.13

14

13

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

- For general damages in excess of \$10,000; 1.
- For punitive damages; 2.
 - Por medical and related expenses according to proof; 3.
 - For lost earnings and damaged earning capacity, according to proof;
 - For reasonable attorney's fees;
 - For costs of investigation and litigation reasonably incurred;
 - 7. For prejudgment interest;
 - For costs of suit herein incurred; and,

By:

For such other relief as the court deems just and proper.

12 Dated: December 8, 2010

SULLIVAN LAW

A Professional Corporation

14 15

13

1

2

3

5 δ

7

8

g

10

11

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Attorneys for Plaintiffs,

1625 Highway 88, #401 Minden, NV 89423

Telephone: (775) 782-6915 Telecopier: (775) 782-3439

- 10 -

SF Fax Server 17 3/1/2011 6:04:43 PM PAGE 18/019 Fax Server Case 2:12-cv-00146-DMC-JAD D6campetat 218/20 Filed 03/03/11 Page 17 of 23 PageID: 17 30-1998-845 D \odot O 00 Θ APPIRMATION PURSUANT TO HES 239B,030 l m ¢ I, J. D. Sullivan, affirm that the pleading(s) and/or 2 L Ø document(s) that I am now presenting to the court in the above-3 \odot O entitled action, do not, to the best of my knowledge, contain any 4 دبيم Ø Social Security Number information that is in violation of NRS W 239B.030. б SULLIVAN LAW 7 Dated: December 8, 2010 Preseggional Corporation 8 9 By 10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, 11 1625 Highway 88, #401 12 Minden, NV 89423 13 Telephone: (775) 782-6915 Telecopier: (775) 782-3439 14 15 16 17 . 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 21

- 11 -

81/21 :63 EZ:20 IT-20-Z8

28

CIOZ FIRE

R80972SS88818 : 91888ZS76888

3/1/2011 6:04:43 PM PAGE

6/019

Fax Server

Ö O \odot O

(i)

O

Ø

00

4

Θ ☺ O **بـــر**

٥٥

SF Fax Server 17

<u>__</u> ģ

N

- If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 20 days after this Summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, you must do the following:
- File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court.
- Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiffs and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.

16 17

18

19

20

15

2

3

4

5

б

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

> Issued at direction of: J. D. Sullivan, Esq. Sullivan Law 1625 Highway 88, #401 Minden, NV 89423 (775) 782-6915 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

21 22

> 23 24

25 26

27

28

DEPUTY DOUGLAS COUNTY COURT CLERK

P. O. BOX 218 MINDEN, NV 89423

NOTE: When service is by publication, add a brief statement of the object of the action. See NV Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 4(b).

Fax Server Page 20 of 23 PageID: 20

DRIAN SANDOVAL Covernor

STATE OF NEVADA

TEDICY JOHNS AN Director

O O \odot 0 0 0 ഗ œ 40001

BRETTI, BARRATT Commissioner of Insurance

30-V998-845

12-30-08

DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY TEMPE OPERATIONS CENTER JEFF FOGARTY DIVISION OF INSURANCE

1818 East College Pkwy., Suite 103 Carsan City, Nevada 89706

FEB 0 7 2011

(775) 687-0700 • Fax (775) 687-0787 Website: doi.nv.gov Email: insinfo@doi.srare.nv.us

February 1, 2011

State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company Altn: Jeff Fogarty 2700 South Sunland Drive Temps, AZ 85282-3387

Viola Williamson, et al. vs. Cecilia Arzate-Demaldonado, et al. RF:

Ninth Judicial District Court, Douglas County, Nevada

Case No. 10CV0375

Dear Mr. Fogarty:

Enclosed please find the following documents: Civil Summons and Civil Complaint. These documents have been served upon the Commissioner of Insurance as your attorney for service of process on January 31, 2011.

The appropriate action should be taken immediately, as you may only have 30 days from the date of this service to respond.

If you have any questions regarding this service, please advise.

Sincerely,

. BRETT J. BARRATT, ESQ. Commissioner of Insurance

By:

FELECIA TUIN

Service of Process Clerk

Enclosures J.D. Sullivan, Esq.

SF Fax Server 1	.7 3/1/2011 6:04:43 Pt	M PAGE 4/019	Fax Server	D. 04				
Case 2:12-cv-0	0146-DMC-JAD DSen Prem [2]	W ²⁰¹ Filed 03/03/11	Page 21 of 23 Pagel					
٠	ĺ	36- V	998-845	Doooo				
•				<u>0</u>				
1	PRO	OOF OF SERVICE		0 0				
2	I hereby declare that on this de		1	8 4				
3	Complaint upon the following defendant	s in the within matter, by	shipping a copy thereof, via	(3)				
4	certified mail, return receipt requested ar	id postage prepaid, to the fo	ollowing:	001				
5		nobile Insurance Company		79				
6	Attn: Jeff Fogarty 2700 South Sunland Driv	c						
7	Tempe, AZ 85282-3387 CERTIFIED MAIL NO:	7007 0710 0004 4590 8277						
ጽ :	I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct.							
9	DATED this 1 st day of February,	2011.		<u> </u>				
ום!								
11	,	lekoa Tui	Λ					
12			ac State of Nevada					
13	:	Department of Division of Ins	Business and Industry urance					
14	RE: Viola Williamson, et al. vs. Ceci		t al.					
15	Ninth Judicial District Court, Do Case No. 10CV0375	uglas County, Nevada						
16								
17								
18	1							
19		Cinta	el lite en a Dirigi en al turn					
20	:	The di	of McCon, Division of Insurance ocurrent on which this certificate upod is a full, true and correct					
21	,	1 1	if the criginal					
22		Date: <u>2[1][1]</u>	By: Hena Luin					
23								
24								
25	ļ							
26								
27								
28	,							
	l l	-1-						
і ьа: элв	81:28 11-29-29		388925288816 : Aq quas xi	F3				
01/60	27 - 20 TO TO	•						

₽9: 3×18

SF Fax Server 17 Case 2:12-cv-00146-DMC-JAD

3/1/2011 6:04:43 PM

5/019 D@graphen12/B/201Filed 03/03/11

Fax Server Page 22 of 23 PageID: 22

TERRY JOHNSON

000006840001

ÇΟ

BRIAN SANDOVAL Governor

STATE OF NEVADA

PAGE

Director



BRETT J. DARRATT Commissioner of Insurance

DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY DIVISION OF INSURANCE

1818 East College Pkwy., Suite 103 Catson City, Nevada 89706 (775) 687-0700 • Fax (775) 687-0787 Website: doi.nv.gov E-mail: insinfo@doi.starc.nv.us

February 1, 2011

J.D. Sullivan, Esq. Sullivan Law 1625 Highway 88, #401 Minden, NV 89423

Viola Williamson, et al. vs. Cecilia Arzate-Demaldonado, et al. Ninth Judicial District Court, Douglas County, Nevada Case No. 10CV0375

Dear Mr. Sullivan:

The Division received the service of process documents on January 31, 2011 regarding the above-entitled matter. Service has been completed on defendant State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company this date and enclosed are the following:

- 1. A copy of our letter to State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company February 1, 2011;
- A certified copy of the Proof of Service dated February 1, 2011; and 2.
- 3. Your receipt in the amount of \$30.00.

Pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes 680A.260, 685A.200, and 685B.050, all documents after initial service of process may be served directly to the party.

If you have any questions regarding this service, please so advise.

Sincerely,

BRETT J. BARRATT, ESQ. Commissioner of Insurance

By:

CIO2 LIKE

FELECIA TUIN

Service of Process Clerk

Enclosures

State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company

088925288816 : 64 Juas x84

